Rapid accent adaptation and constraints on cross-talker generalization

Kodi Weatherholtz, Linda Liu, and T. Florian Jaeger, University of Rochester {kweatherholtz,, lliu, fjaeger} @bcs.rochester.edu

Our question

Under what conditions does adaptation to a foreign accent generalize to new talkers with that accent?

To achieve robust language understanding, listeners must adjust for variability in speech (e.g., dialect, foreign accent). Listeners can adapt to systematic variability across talkers [1,2]. To the extent that listeners can generalize learning from familiar talkers to new similar talkers, they are able to benefit from prior accent experience when encountering a new talker [3]. Such cross-talker generalization requires that listeners distinguish accent-general patterns from talker-specific idiosyncracies.

Previous findings:

Exposure to multiple talkers with the same foreign accent facilitates—and is perhaps *necessary* for—generalization to new talkers with that accent (Bradlow & Bent, 2008).

By contrast, exposure to a single talker appears to result in talker-specific adaptation (i.e., better performance on the trained talker, but no generalization to new talkers).



Exposure-test paradigm:

Task: Sentence intelligibility in noise (following Bradlow & Bent, 2008), conducted on Mechanical Turk.

Stimuli: Simple declarative sentences, each containing 2-4 keywords, produced by **native English** or Mandarin-accented English talkers.

- Somebody stole the money.



Outcome variable: proportion of keywords correctly transcribed.

Exp1: Replicating foreign accent adaptation via the web



Training materials.

- 16 sentences repeated 5 times - by 5 different native speakers, or
- by 1 Mandarin-accented talker
 - (80 training trials, cf. 160 in B&B2008)

Test materials.

- 16 sentences produced by a single Mandarin-accented talker. - (identity of the test talker varied btw subjs;



Results

transcribed

correctly

<mark>မှ</mark> 0.6

UDIL 0.4

Propol

- **Training:** participants who heard foreign-accented speech were initially less accurate (relative to control) but improved with exposure.
- **Test:** higher accuracy in the talker-specific condition, relative to control, indicating accent adaptation (beyond task adaptation)
- Effect size for talker-specific adaptation ~10%; comparable to B&B2008

Improved performance (adaptation)—or not—by test talker.





6 different Mandarin-accented talkers total)

Exp 2 (N = 156): Is multi-talker training *necessary* for generalization?



Results

- **Cross-talker generalization** following both single talker and multi-talker training
- Strength of generalization: no discernable benefit of multi-talker over single talker training
- **Imperfect generalization:** multi-talker < talker-specific (cf. B&B, 2008)

Conclusions

We found evidence that generalization of accent adaptation is both more robust and less robust than previously claimed (cf. Bradlow & Bent, 2008).

- *More robust* in that single talker training can be sufficient for cross-talker generalization (i.e., multi-talker training is not a necessary condition)
- Less robust in that generalization was imperfect (i.e., cross-talker generalization was never as strong as talker-specific training)

Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by an NIHCD R01 (HD075797) to TFJ. We are grateful to Ann Bradlow for providing access to the ALLSSTAR corpus for stimulus materials

References:

[1] Bradlow, A., & Bent, T. (2008). Cognition, 106(2). [2] Sidaras, S., Alexander, J., & Nygaard, L. (2009). JASA, 125 (5). [3] Kleinschmidt, D. & Jaeger, T. F. (2015). PsychReview, 122(2.